

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/513,439	02/25/2000	Jacek R. Ambroziak	SUN-P4671-AES	7935
22835 7	7590 03/02/2004		EXAMINER	
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP 508 SECOND STREET			SCHLAIFER, JONATHAN D	
SUITE 201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAVIS, CA 95616		2178		
			DATE MAILED: 03/02/2004	. /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/_U			
Advisory Action		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/513,439	AMBROZIAK, JACEK R.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jonathan D. Schlaifer	2178			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspond nce address						
THE REPLY FILED 17 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
(sst	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
b) The po event, ONLY 706.07 Extensions o	f time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE control which the petition under 37 CFR 1.	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee			
37 CFR 1.17(a) is (b) above, if check	the date for purposes of determining the period of extensional calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened ked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mon adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) 🛭 the	ey raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
• • •	ey are not deemed to place the application sues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) 🗌 th	ey present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
N	OTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applica	ant's reply has overcome the following rejec	ction(s):				
	proposed or amended claim(s) would ing the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment			
	☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request foation in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT place the			
	idavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
	poses of Appeal, the proposed amendmen ation of how the new or amended claims w					
The sta	atus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:				
Claim(Claim(s) allowed:					
	(s) objected to:					
Claim((s) rejected: <u>1-4, 6-14, 16-24, 26-30</u> .					
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The dra	awing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9.☐ Note th	e attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	^			
10. Other:			STEPHEN S. HONG			
			PRIMARY EXAMINER			

Continuation She t (PTOL-303) 009/513,439

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant proposed amendment regarding tokenizing instructions significantly changes the scope of the claimed invention when interpreted as a whole, therefore said amendment would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are substantially directed towards new issues as presented above.